

Appointing person: Frequently asked questions (updated 27 October 2016)

Question	Response
<p>1. What is an appointing person and what bodies are eligible to opt in?</p>	<p>Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (PSAA) has been specified as an appointing person under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015, and has the power to make auditor appointments for audits of the accounts from 2018/19 on behalf of principal local government bodies that opt in, in accordance with the Regulations. PSAA is a not-for-profit company owned by the LGA's Improvement and Development Agency (IDeA) and was established to operate the transitional arrangements following closure of the Audit Commission. The 'appointing person' is sometimes referred to as the sector-led body.</p> <p>Eligible bodies are only those principal local government bodies listed in schedule 2 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. This includes county councils, district councils, London Borough councils, unitary authorities, metropolitan councils, police bodies, fire and rescue authorities, joint authorities, combined authorities (covering elected regional mayors), national park authorities, conservation boards, PTEs, waste authorities, and the GLA and its functional bodies. Smaller authorities (such as parish councils) and NHS bodies, including accountable care organisations, are not eligible to opt in.</p> <p>A list of 493 local government bodies currently eligible for the appointing person scheme is available on the appointing person page of our website (http://www.psaa.co.uk/supporting-the-transition/appointing-person/).</p>

Question	Response
2. When will invitations to opt in be issued?	<p>The invitation to opt in was issued on 27 October 2016 with a closing date for acceptance of 9 March 2017. This allows considerably longer than the statutory minimum period of eight weeks, for the requirement under the regulations that authorities must make the decision to opt in at a full council meeting. As corporations sole, the full council requirement does not apply to police and crime commissioners.</p> <p>The aim is to award contracts to audit firms by June 2017, giving six months to consult with authorities and confirm appointments before the 31 December 2017 deadline to appoint auditors for the following financial year.</p> <p>In order to maximise the potential economies of scale from agreeing large contracts with firms, and to manage any auditor independence issues, PSAA needs as much certainty as possible about the volume and location of work it is able to offer to firms. Our timetable means that we will need to start preparing tender documentation early in 2017, so we will need to know which authorities have opted in.</p>
3. How do we have to make the decision to accept the invitation to opt in?	<p>In accordance with Regulation 19 of the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015, a principal authority will need to make the decision to opt in at full council (authority meeting as a whole), except where the authority is a corporation sole (such as a police and crime commissioner), in which case the function must be exercised by the holder of the office.</p>
4. Can we join after it has been set up or do we have to join at the beginning?	<p>One of the main benefits of an appointing person approach is the ability to achieve economies of scale as a result of being able to offer larger volumes of work. The greater the number of participants we have signed up at the outset, the better the</p>

Question	Response
	<p>economies of scale we are likely to achieve. This will not prevent authorities from applying to join the appointing person scheme in later years (and PSAA must agree to the request unless there are reasonable grounds to refuse), but they will need to make their own arrangements to appoint an auditor in the interim, which will include establishing an auditor panel. In order to be in the best position we would encourage as many authorities as possible to commit by accepting the invitation within the specified timeframe, that is by 9 March 2017.</p>
<p>5. Will membership be free for existing members of the LGA?</p>	<p>The option to join the appointing person scheme will be open to all principal local government authorities listed under Schedule 2 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. There will not be a fee to join the sector-led arrangements. The audit fees that opted-in bodies will be charged will cover the costs to PSAA of appointing auditors and managing the arrangements. We believe that audit fees achieved through large contracts will be lower than the costs that individual authorities will be able to negotiate. In addition, by opting into the PSAA offer, authorities will avoid the costs of their own procurement and management of contracts and also the requirement to set up an auditor panel with independent members.</p>
<p>6. How will we be able to influence the development of the appointing person scheme and associated contracts with audit firms?</p>	<p>We have established a stakeholder advisory panel which will comment on our proposals. Members of the panel are drawn from representative organisations for councils, police and fire bodies. The first meeting of the group was held on 30 September 2016. Further meetings are scheduled for 23 November 2016, 26 January 2017 and 25 May 2017.</p> <p>PSAA continues to work in partnership with the LGA in setting up the appointing person scheme and you can feed in</p>

Question	Response
	<p>comments and observations to PSAA by emailing appointingperson@psaa.co.uk and via the LGA and their principal advisors.</p>
<p>7. Will there be standard contract terms and conditions?</p>	<p>The audit contracts between PSAA and the audit firms will require firms to deliver audits compliant with the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice. We are aware that authorities would like to understand how performance and delivery will be monitored and managed. This is one of the issues that could be discussed with the stakeholder advisory panel (see Q6).</p>
<p>8. What will be the length of the contracts?</p>	<p>The length of contract between PSAA and firms will be five years.</p>
<p>9. In addition to the Code of Audit Practice requirements set out by the NAO, will the contract be flexible to enable authorities to include the audit of wholly owned companies and group accounts?</p>	<p>Local authority group accounts are part of the accounts produced under the CIPFA SORP and are subject to audit in line with the NAO Code of Audit Practice. They will continue to be part of the statutory audit.</p> <p>Company audits are subject to the provisions of the Companies Act 2006 and are not covered by the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015. Local authority companies will be able to appoint the same audit firm as PSAA appoints to undertake the principal body audit, should they so wish.</p>
<p>10. Will bodies that opt in be able to seek information from potential suppliers and undertake some form of evaluation to choose a supplier?</p>	<p>PSAA will run the tendering exercise, and will evaluate bids and award contracts. PSAA will consult authorities on individual auditor appointments. The appointment of an auditor independently of the body to be audited is an important feature of the appointing person arrangements and will continue to underpin strong corporate governance in the public sector.</p>

Question	Response
<p>11. Will the price be fixed or will there be a range of prices?</p>	<p>The fee for the audit of a body that opts in will reflect the size, audit risk and complexity of the work required. PSAA will establish a system for setting the fee which is fair to all opted-in authorities. As a not-for-profit organisation, PSAA will be able to return any surpluses to opted-in authorities after all costs have been met.</p>
<p>12. We have shared service arrangements with our neighbouring bodies and we are looking to ensure that we share the same auditor. Will the appointing person scheme allow for this?</p>	<p>PSAA will be able to make appointments to all principal local government bodies listed in Schedule 2 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 that are 'relevant authorities' and not excluded as a result of being smaller authorities, for example parish councils.</p> <p>In setting up the new arrangements, one of our aims is to make auditor appointments that take account of joint working and shared service arrangements. Requests for the same auditor as other authorities will need to be balanced with auditor independence considerations. As we have set out in our prospectus, auditors must be independent of the bodies they audit. PSAA will have an obligation under the provisions of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to ensure that every auditor appointment it makes passes this test and auditors must comply with the requirements of the Ethical Standards issued by the Financial Reporting Council. We will need information from opted-in authorities on potential independence considerations and joint working arrangements, and will also need information on independence issues from the audit firms. Risks to auditor independence include, for example, an audit firm having previously been engaged to advise on a major procurement which could, of course, later be subject to audit.</p>

Question	Response
<p>13. We have a joint committee which no longer has a statutory requirement to have an external auditor but has agreed in the interests of all parties to continue to engage one. Is it possible to use this process as an option to procure the external auditor for the joint committee?</p>	<p>The requirement for joint committees to produce statutory accounts ceased after production of the 2014/15 accounts and they are therefore not listed in Schedule 2. Joint committees that have opted to produce accounts voluntarily and obtain non-statutory assurance on them will need to make their own local arrangements.</p>
<p>14. How will the appointing person scheme ensure audit firms are not over-stretched and that the competition in the market place is increased?</p>	<p>The number of firms eligible to undertake local public audit is regulated through the Financial Reporting Council and the recognised Supervisory Bodies (RSBs). Only appropriately accredited firms will be able to bid for appointments whether that is through PSAA or an auditor panel.</p> <p>PSAA is developing a procurement strategy which may include a limit on the total business available to any one firm.</p> <p>One of the advantages of the appointing person option is to make appointments that help to ensure that each successful firm has a sufficient quantum of work to make it possible for them to invest in public sector specific training, maintain a centre of excellence or hub that will mean:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • firms have a regional presence; • greater continuity of staff input; and • a better understanding the local political, economic and social environment.
<p>15. Will the appointing person scheme contract with a number of different audit firms and how will they be allocated to authorities?</p>	<p>PSAA will organise the contracts to maximise the number of firms appointed nationally. The minimum number of audit firms is probably four or five (depending on the number of bodies that opt in). This is required, not just to ensure competition and capacity, but because each firm is required to comply with the</p>

Question	Response
	FRC's ethical standards. This means that an individual firm may not be appointable for 'independence' reasons, for example, because they have undertaken consultancy work at an audited body. PSAA will consult on appointments that allow each firm a balanced portfolio of work subject to independence considerations.
16. What will be the process to feed in opinions from customers of current auditors if there are issues?	PSAA will seek feedback on its auditors as part of its engagement with the sector. PSAA will continue to have a clear complaints process and will also undertake contract monitoring of the firms it appoints.
17. What is the timetable for set up and key decisions?	<p>We expect the key points in the timetable to be broadly:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • establish an overall strategy for procurement - by November 2016; • achieve 'sign-up' of opted-in authorities - by 9 March 2017; • invite tenders from audit firms - by April 2017; • award contracts - by 30 June 2017; • consult on and make final auditor appointments - by 31 December 2017; and • consult on, propose audit fees and publish fees - by 31 March 2018.
18. What are the terms of reference of the appointing person?	PSAA is a not-for-profit company wholly owned by the IDeA (the IDeA is wholly owned by the LGA). PSAA will continue to operate as an independent company, although there will be changes to its governance arrangements and its founding documents to reflect the fact that it will be an appointing person going forward rather than a transitional body which has overseen the transition from the Audit Commission to the new appointing person arrangements.

Question	Response
<p>19. Will the appointing person take on all audit panel roles and therefore mitigate the need for there to be one in each individual authority?</p>	<p>Opting into the appointing person scheme will remove the need to set up an auditor panel. This is set out in the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015.</p>
<p>20. What will be the arrangements for overseeing the quality of audit work undertaken by the audit firms appointed by the appointing person?</p>	<p>PSAA will only contract with firms which have a proven track record in undertaking public audit work. In accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, firms must be registered with one of the chartered accountancy institutes acting in the capacity of a Recognised Supervisory Body (RSB). The quality of the firms' work will be subject to scrutiny by both the RSB and the Financial Reporting Council (FRC). Current indications are that fewer than ten large firms will register, meaning that small local firms will not be eligible to be appointed to local public audit roles.</p> <p>PSAA will ensure that firms maintain the appropriate registration and will liaise closely with RSBs and the FRC to ensure that any concerns are detected at an early stage and addressed effectively in the new regime. PSAA will take a close interest in feedback from opted-in bodies and in the rigour and effectiveness of firms' own quality assurance arrangements, recognising that these represent some of the earliest and most important safety nets for identifying and remedying any problems. We will liaise with the NAO to help ensure that guidance to auditors is updated when necessary.</p>

Question	Response
<p>21. In what circumstances can an auditor be changed during the five year opt-in period, and how does this differ from locally procured arrangements?</p>	<p>The main circumstances in which PSAA will consider changing an auditor appointment during the five year compulsory appointing period are either for independence reasons, for example the identification of a conflict of interest involving the existing audit firm, or because of the emergence of new joint working arrangements.</p> <p>An authority appointing its own auditor will find it more difficult to change their auditor appointment during the contracted period, as this would require the authority to conduct a new selection and procurement exercise. The appointing person scheme will therefore provide more flexibility for opted-in bodies.</p>
<p>22. How will audit fee levels be set for each individual body with the objective of recovering PSAA costs at the aggregate level?</p>	<p>PSAA will pool scheme costs and charge fees to audited bodies in accordance with a fair scale of fees which has regard to size, complexity and audit risk, most likely as currently evidenced by audit fees for 2016/17. Pooling means that everyone in the scheme will benefit from the most competitive prices. Fees will reflect the number of scheme participants – the greater the level of participation, the better the value represented by our scale fees.</p> <p>2018/19 scale fees will be determined by the prices achieved in the auditor procurement that PSAA will undertake during the early part of 2017. We expect to consult on the proposed scale of fees in autumn 2017 and to publish the fees applicable in March 2018. Where more or less work is required than is envisaged in the scale fee, a fee variation process will apply. The variations process will ensure that fees for additional work</p>

Question	Response
	cannot be invoiced until agreed with the audited body and approved by PSAA.
23. What will be the future arrangements under the appointing person scheme for certifying grant claims?	<p>PSAA's audit contracts from 2018/19 will not cover certification work. PSAA has no power under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to make certification arrangements, and its arrangements will apply only to opted-in bodies. Any certification work required by grant paying government departments will need to be undertaken using a tripartite agreement between an audited body, an audit firm and the grant paying body, under instructions prepared by the grant paying body.</p> <p>The Department for Work and Pensions is developing its arrangements for housing benefit subsidy claim certification from 2018/19 on this basis. Where applicable, local authorities will appoint an auditor for this certification work (for which an auditor panel is not required) and may if they wish choose to use the same auditor appointed by PSAA for the audit of the accounts, if they are opted-in bodies.</p>
24. How will the appointing person scheme deal with an authority that is dissatisfied with its auditor and wants a change (e.g. because of quality, relationships, or a conflict of interest)?	<p>As with the current arrangements, where an authority is dissatisfied with its auditor, concerns should be raised in the first instance with the firm's Engagement Lead and subsequently with the firm's PSAA Contact Partner (as indicated on communications between the firm and the authority).</p> <p>If the authority is not satisfied with the response of the firm, then the matter should be raised with PSAA.</p>

Question	Response
	<p>As appointing person, PSAA appoints a firm as auditor to an authority. The firm is responsible for nominating an individual to act as the Engagement Leader on the audit of an authority.</p> <p>PSAA will consider changing an auditor appointment in extremis if an authority is dissatisfied, but would expect the authority and the firm to have exhausted all avenues for resolution before doing so. Maintaining the independence of the auditor is an important part of this consideration.</p> <p>PSAA will consider changing an auditor appointment during the five year compulsory appointing periods, if a conflict of interest involving the existing audit firm is identified, or because of the emergence of new joint working arrangements.</p> <p>The appointing person scheme will have the flexibility to provide an audit alternative if required in these cases.</p> <p>PSAA will be monitoring the quality of audit services provided as part of the contractual terms of appointment to be agreed with firms.</p>
<p>25. Will an auditor be able to provide my authority with non-audit consultancy services?</p>	<p>The independence requirements for all auditors within the local public audit regime are the same whether locally appointed, or part of the appointing person regime. These requirements are specified by the Financial Reporting Council in the Ethical Standard and applied to local public audit as determined by the NAO.</p> <p>The services that an auditor can provide are the same, whatever the appointment method.</p>

Question	Response
	<p>As the Appointing Person, PSAA will perform the role otherwise required of an auditor panel to advise the authority on the maintenance of the independence of the auditor [Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 section 10(1)].</p> <p>PSAA will consider changing an auditor appointment during the five-year compulsory appointing period for independence reasons, if for example the identification of a conflict of interest involving the existing audit firm, or because of the emergence of new joint working arrangements.</p>